United States District Court Southern District of Texas

## **ENTERED**

October 12, 2016

David J. Bradley, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LAREDO DIVISION

RAFAEL VELA, et al.,

**Plaintiffs** 

VS.

STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:15-CV-228

## **MEMORANDUM**

Before the Court is the Parties' Agreed Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice (Dkt. No. 25). Parties in a civil suit may generally dismiss the suit without a court order upon the filing of a notice of dismissal "signed by all parties who have appeared." FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). This general rule is subject to limitations in class action suits, shareholder derivative suits, suits where the court has appointed a receiver, and suits where a defendant has filed a counterclaim. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)–(c).

The Court finds that this is not a class action suit or shareholder derivative suit, the Court has not appointed a receiver, and Defendants has not filed a counterclaim. Thus, because the Parties' Motion is signed by all parties who have appeared in this case, all of Plaintiffs' claims were dismissed with prejudice "effective upon [the] filing" of the Agreed Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice. See SmallBizPros, Inc. v. MacDonald, 618 F.3d 458, 463 (5th Cir. 2010) ("Because filing a voluntary stipulation of dismissal . . . is effective immediately, any action by the

district court after the filing of such a stipulation can have no force or effect because the matter has already been dismissed by the parties themselves without any court action.").

The Clerk of Court is hereby directed to CLOSE this case.

SIGNED October 11, 2016.

Affaria Ufamolijo

Marina Garcia Marmolejo

United States District Judge